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## Goal: Create $M$ CDOs containing $D=2$ out of $N$ mtgs.
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Note: Each mortgage can be assigned to more than one CDO.
(1) Framework
(2) Random Graph
(3) Planted Clique
(4) Main Result

## Random Graph: $g \sim \mathrm{~F}_{1}(M, N, D)$
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Step 1: For each CDO, randomly sample $D=2$ mortgages.
(1) Framework
(2) Random Graph
(3) Planted Clique

## Planted Clique: $g \sim \mathrm{~F}_{2}(M, N, D ; m, n, d)$
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Step 1: Choose $m=3$ CDOs to be in set $\mathcal{M}^{*}$ (red).

## Planted Clique: $g \sim \mathrm{~F}_{2}(M, N, D ; m, n, d)$

CDOs


1


Step 2: Choose $n=3$ mortgages to be in set $\mathcal{N}^{*}$ (blue).

## Planted Clique: $g \sim \mathrm{~F}_{2}(M, N, D ; m, n, d)$



Step 3: $\forall m \notin \mathcal{M}^{*}$ randomly select $D=2$ neighbors from $\mathcal{N}$.

## Planted Clique: $g \sim \mathrm{~F}_{2}(M, N, D ; m, n, d)$
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Step 4: $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}^{*}$ randomly select $D-d=1$ neighbor from $\mathcal{N}$.

## Planted Clique: $g \sim \mathrm{~F}_{2}(M, N, D ; m, n, d)$
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Step 5: $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}^{*}$ randomly select $D-d=1$ neighbor from $\mathcal{N}^{*}$.
(1) Framework
(2) Random Graph
(3) Planted Clique
(4) Main Result

## Constraints

c1) $M \cdot D \geq N$ : Each mtg should be related to at least one CDO.
c2) $d \gg D \cdot(n / N)$ : If not, then a random CDO will be expected to contain more mtgs from the collection of lemons $\mathcal{N}^{*}$ than a booby trapped CDO.
c3) $m \cdot d \gg n$ : If not, a random graph will be expected to have a graph as dense as the planted dense subgraph.

## Random Planted Problem

## Proposition

Suppose that:
a1) $N=\mathrm{O}(M \cdot D)$
a2) $\left(m \cdot d^{2} / n\right)^{2}=\mathrm{o}\left(M \cdot D^{2} / N\right)$
Then there is no polynomial time algorithm to distinguish whether or not a $g$ was drawn from $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{\text {ab }}$

```
\({ }^{a} f=\mathrm{O}(x)\) if there exists a constant \(M>0\) s.t. \(f(x) \leq M \cdot x\) as \(x \rightarrow \infty\).
\({ }^{\mathrm{b}} f=\mathrm{o}(x)\) if for any constant \(M>0\) we have that \(f(x) \leq M \cdot x\) as \(x \rightarrow \infty\).
```

$a 1) \Rightarrow$ each mtg is likely securitized more than once:

$$
M \cdot D=\text { Gross Securitization Volume (\# Mtgs) }
$$

$a 2) \Rightarrow$ lemons aren't planted too frequently relative to regular mtgs:
$D \cdot\left(\frac{M \cdot D}{N}\right)=\mathrm{E}[\#$ Times Securitized $], \quad d \cdot\left(\frac{m \cdot d}{n}\right)=\mathrm{E}[\#$ Times Planted $]$

## Random Planted Problem

## Proof (Intuition).

Strategy: Look for pairs of CDOs with too many shared mtgs.
Define the co-degree of vertices $m$ and $m^{\prime}$-written as $\operatorname{cod}\left(m, m^{\prime}\right)$-to be the number of common neighbors of $m$ and $m^{\prime}$.

If $D^{2} / N \gg 1$, then the LLN implies that in a random graph:

$$
\lim _{M \cdot D \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{cod}\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \sim \mathrm{N}\left(D^{2} / N, \sqrt{D^{2} / N}\right)
$$

In a dense subgraph, $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ will have $d^{2} / n$ more neighbors than otherwise, but...
... need to look through all possible pairs of CDOs!!! ... and hope that
$\sqrt{D^{2} / N}$ is sufficiently small that some CDOs don't share a bunch of mtgs by chance!!!

